IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

ITA Nos. 16 of 2007, 47 of 2008, 50 of 2009, 37 of 2010, 1 and 2 of 2013

Date of decision: 15.3.2016

---

1. ITA No. 16 of 2007

Commissioner of Income Tax …Appellant

Versus

M/s Jai Mata Glass Ltd. …Respondent

2. ITA No. 47 of 2008

Commissioner of Income Tax …Appellant

Versus

Sh. Sanjay Aggarwal. …Respondent

3. ITA No. 50 of 2009

Commissioner of Income Tax …Appellant

Versus

M/s Shiva Exports, Parwanoo. …Respondent

4. ITA No. 37 of 2010

Commissioner of Income Tax …Appellant

Versus

M/s Navkar Polyplast Company. …Respondent

5. ITA No. 1 of 2013

Commissioner of Income Tax …Appellant

Versus

M/s Shiva Exports, Sec.-2, Parwanoo. …Respondent

6. ITA No. 2 of 2013

Commissioner of Income Tax …Appellant

Versus

M/s Shiva Exports, Sec.-2, Parwanoo. …Respondent

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mansoor Ahmad Mir, Chief Justice.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Appellant(s):	*Mr.Vinay Kuthiala, Senior Advocate, with Ms.Vandana Kuthiala, Advocate.*
For the Respondent(s)*:*	*Mr. K.D. Sood, Senior Advocate with Mr.Vishal Mohan, Mr.Rahul Mahajan, Mr. Goverdhan Sharma and Mr.Sanjeev Sood, Advocates.*

Mansoor Ahmad Mir, Chief Justice (Oral)

Pursuant to circular No. 21/2015 issued vide F.No. 279/Misc.142/2007-ITJ(Pt) by Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, Central Board Direct Taxes dated 10.12.2015, an office order dated 4th January, 2016 stands issued by the Registrar (Judicial & JB) of this Court to the following effect:

> *"Hon'ble the Chief Justice has been pleased to order in pursuance of circular No. 21/2015 issued vide F.No. 279/Misc.142/2007-ITJ(Pt) by Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, Central Board Direct Taxes dated 10.12.2015 as under:*
>
> > *"(1) It is to be specifically mentioned by the Income Tax Department while filing the appeal in the High Court that the tax effect exceeds ₹20,00,000/- except in the following matters:*

---

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment?*

(a) Where the Constitutional validity of the provisions of an Act or Rule are under challenge, or

(b) Where Board's order, Notification, Instruction or Circular has been held to be illegal or ultra vires, or

(c) Where Revenue Audit objection in the case has been accepted by the Department, or

(d) Where the additional relates to undisclosed foreign assets/bank accounts.

2. The pending appeals where the tax effect does not exceed ₹20,00,000/- are directed to be withdrawn/not pressed."

2. It is not in dispute that the total tax factor is less than ₹20,00,000/- in each of these appeals and that they do not fall within anyone of the exceptions. As such, present appeals stand disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Mansoor Ahmad Mir)
Chief Justice

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

15th March, 2016
(Tilak/KRS)