Nehal Ahmed

I.T.A. No.365/Pat/2024

ITAT · Patna | Source extract brief | 3 source pages

Selected passages, not a complete analytical case note. Read the complete source for context and attribution.

01 FACTS OF THE CASE

“The present appeal has been preferred by the assessee against the order dated 01.03.2024 of the National Faceless Appeal Centre [hereinafter referred to as ‘CIT(A)’] passed u/s 250 of the Income Tax Act (hereinafter referred to as the ‘Act’). 2. Brief facts of the care are that the assessee is an individual and earned income from civil contract. The assessee filed his return of income for the assessment year 2016-17 by declaring an income of Rs.10,58,610/-. The assessee initially had filed return of income u/s 139(9) of the Act and subsequently revised it declaring the same income. The assessee disclosed income from contractual receipt amounting to Rs.92,51,630/- and …” (source PDF page 1)

02 DISCUSSION BY THE HON’BLE TRIBUNAL

No separate discussion passage is reproduced in this extract brief. Consult the complete order; do not infer a holding from the filename or the parties’ submissions.

03 JUDICIAL DECISION

The disposition is not classified in this brief. Read the final operative paragraphs and any schedules in the complete order.

04 OUTCOME — IN FAVOUR OF

The quoted result identifies the disposition of the appeals, rather than a universal ruling for all taxpayers. A merits or party-specific outcome is not independently certified in this source extract brief; verify every ground in the complete order.

05 AKHB REVIEW POINT

Read the numbered grounds and operative reasoning together. Match the statutory provision, assessment year, jurisdiction and material facts before relying on this order; check later appeals, stays and amendments.

SOURCE & VERIFICATION

Read the supplied court / tribunal copy (PDF)

Page references refer to the supplied PDF, not an official reporter. Authenticity and subsequent case history require separate verification.

AI DISCLOSURE

AI-assisted source extract brief. Selection and extraction may contain errors or omit material context. The selected discussion passage may include a precedent quoted within the order. No independent professional approval is claimed. Verify the attribution, complete reasoning and every material statement against the original.

PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General research information only; not legal or tax advice, a certified judgment or a substitute for the full order. Applicability depends on facts, statutory version, assessment year and jurisdiction. Subsequent appeals, stays, reversals and amendments have not been checked. Downloading does not create a professional engagement. Obtain case-specific advice before reliance.