

## M/S Advantage Media Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10690 of 2012

### FORUM

**Patna High Court**

### ORDER DATE

**05 Feb 2015**

### NOTE TYPE

**Source extract**

### 01 CONCLUDING COURT PASSAGE - SOURCE EXTRACT

Learned counsel for the Central Excise Department submits that similar matter filed by the petitioner in C.W.J.C. No.10697 of 2012 has already been rejected on the ground of availability of the statutory alternative remedy by order dated 24.1.2013. Learned counsel for the petitioners, on the other hand, submits that the issue has already been settled by different Benches of the Central Customs, Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal referring to a decision in the case of P. Gautam & Co. vs. Commissioner of Service Tax, Ahmedabad: 2011 (24) S.T.R. 447 (Tri.-Ahmd.). It is submitted that the authorities of the Central Excise Department have been repeatedly issuing notices despite the matter having been settled by the Tribunal without even challenging the order of the Tribunal before the higher forum. In our view, any such decision of the Tribunal would be binding upon the authorities so far as any point of law is settled therein subject to the right to challenge such decision before the higher forum. However, considering the other fact that the petitioners have approached this Court against the notices issued by the service tax authorities, we consider it appropriate that the issue of fact and law may be raised more appropriately by the petitioners before the authorities by filing their show-cause/reply to the notice. Both the writ applications are, accordingly, disposed of with the aforesaid directions and observations.

### SOURCE & VERIFICATION

Supplied court copy / compilation pp. 31-33

**READ ORDER**

### AI DISCLOSURE

AI-assisted preparation: this document was generated with AI using the identified source and may contain extraction, interpretation or omission errors. It has not been independently approved by a practising professional. Verify every material statement against the complete original order.

### PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General research information only; not legal or tax advice, a certified judgment, or a substitute for the full order. Applicability depends on the facts, assessment year, statutory version and jurisdiction. Subsequent appeals, stays, reversals and legislative changes have not been checked unless expressly stated. Downloading does not create a professional engagement. Obtain case-specific advice before reliance.