Rakesh Kumar Gokhroo (AY 2015-16) v. Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax, Central Circle-4, Jaipur & Ors.

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14938/2025

2025:RJ-JP:46777-DB | D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14938/2025 | 2025-11-19

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14938/2025: dismissed as withdrawn at the petitioner's request; no tax merits ruling.

No Income-tax Act section is expressly identified in this order.

High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan | 2-judge bench (Division Bench, Jaipur Bench) | Justice Mahendar Kumar Goyal | Justice Baljinder Singh Sandhu

Assessment years: 2015-16

Scope of this order

Procedural withdrawal; neither the petitioner's tax claim nor the Department's substantive position was adjudicated.

01 FACTS OF THE CASE

Rakesh Kumar Gokhroo (AY 2015-16) sought withdrawal of D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14938/2025. The short order identifies the parties and docket but does not set out the underlying tax issue, amount or reason for withdrawal. AY 2015–16 appears in the petitioner's caption.

Source: PDF p. 1

02 DISCUSSION BY THE HON'BLE COURT

The Court accepted counsel's withdrawal request without examining the underlying tax controversy.

Source: PDF p. 1

03 JUDICIAL DECISION

The writ petition was dismissed as withdrawn. Pending applications were also disposed of.

Source: PDF p. 1

04 OUTCOME / IN WHOSE FAVOUR

Procedural withdrawal; neither the petitioner's tax claim nor the Department's substantive position was adjudicated.

Source: PDF p. 1

05 EXPERT COMMENTS

Withdrawal does not establish the merits — The operative order records the petitioner's choice to withdraw. It supplies no finding that a reassessment, demand or other tax action was lawful or unlawful.

Do not infer an unstated reason or remedy — The source gives no settlement, payment, alternate-remedy explanation or express liberty to refile. None should be added merely because withdrawal was permitted.

Retain this individual docket — This record concerns D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14938/2025. Its distinct party, date and court order must be retained even where other short withdrawal orders use similar language.

Source: PDF p. 1

SOURCE & VERIFICATION

High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan official judgment PDF - AKHB Repository (20251119_RJHC020815012025.pdf)

1-page official High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan judgment PDF (20251119_RJHC020815012025.pdf, 46,759 bytes).

Complete source text and every original page visually reviewed; identity and SHA-256 checked. AI-assisted source analysis.

Open cited source rendition

All 1 physical source pages read and visually inspected. SHA-256: 0757cf6e4698069eb5a90595ab35466293203fc16b4a35526484817364883a74.

STATUTORY SCOPE

No unprinted statutory basis is treated as a decided issue. The source does not adjudicate an Income-tax Act provision.

LATER TREATMENT

Source checked 2026-10-21. Subsequent judicial treatment has not been independently verified.

AI DISCLOSURE

AI-assisted research checked against the identified source. Extraction, interpretation or omission errors remain possible. Source review does not mean independent approval by a practising professional. Verify every material statement against the complete original judgment.

PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General research information only; not legal or tax advice, a certified judgment or a substitute for the full order. Applicability depends on facts, assessment year, statutory version and jurisdiction. Subsequent treatment is unverified unless identified sources are expressly stated. Downloading does not create a professional engagement. Obtain case-specific advice before reliance.