Smt. Sangeet Agrawal v. Principal Commissioner of Income Tax-1, Indore

W.P. No. 5023/2021

W.P. No. 5023/2021 (High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Indore, order dated 2022-12-09) | 2022-12-09

Writ petition dismissed as withdrawn on counsel's request.

High Court of Madhya Pradesh | Division Bench | Chief Justice Ravi Malimath | Justice Pranay Verma

Assessment years:

Scope of this order

No tax merits ruling, stay or express liberty to institute fresh proceedings was recorded.

01 FACTS OF THE CASE

Sangeet Agrawal's writ petition was listed for admission. Her counsel requested withdrawal.

Source: PDF p. 1

02 DISCUSSION BY THE HON'BLE COURT

The Court recorded the request without discussing the tax dispute, assessment or grounds of challenge.

Source: PDF p. 1

03 JUDICIAL DECISION

The petition was dismissed as withdrawn.

Source: PDF p. 1

04 OUTCOME / IN WHOSE FAVOUR

No tax merits ruling, stay or express liberty to institute fresh proceedings was recorded.

Source: PDF p. 1

05 EXPERT COMMENTS

Preserve the exact procedural result — The operative sentence records withdrawal, rather than adjudication of the challenged tax action. No finding validating or invalidating that action follows from this order.

Do not populate absent tax particulars — The source supplies neither an assessment year nor an Income-tax Act provision or amount. The note deliberately leaves those fields unspecified.

Source: PDF p. 1

SOURCE & VERIFICATION

Primary High Court order - verified local repository copy

Complete 1-page source PDF; all pages read, including signature-only pages.

Complete source text and every original page visually reviewed; identity and SHA-256 checked. AI-assisted source analysis.

Open cited source rendition

All 1 physical source pages read and visually inspected. SHA-256: 23bd6b248532c7bb9c07fc990afac679ba5c1e78ac9670f0974f4cd7d161222e.

STATUTORY SCOPE

The supplied order does not identify an assessment year or decide an Income-tax Act charging provision. No unstated provision is assigned.

LATER TREATMENT

Source checked 2026-10-21. Subsequent judicial treatment has not been independently verified.

AI DISCLOSURE

AI-assisted research checked against the identified source. Extraction, interpretation or omission errors remain possible. Source review does not mean independent approval by a practising professional. Verify every material statement against the complete original judgment.

PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General research information only; not legal or tax advice, a certified judgment or a substitute for the full order. Applicability depends on facts, assessment year, statutory version and jurisdiction. Subsequent treatment is unverified unless identified sources are expressly stated. Downloading does not create a professional engagement. Obtain case-specific advice before reliance.