Maharshi Mahesh Yogi Vedic Vishwavidyalaya v. Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax (Exemption)

W.P. No. 2505/2019

W.P. No. 2505/2019 (High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur, order dated 2019-02-18) | 2019-02-18

Parallel writ withdrawn while statutory appeal remained pending; expeditious consideration of any lawful stay application expected.

High Court of Madhya Pradesh | Division Bench | Chief Justice S.K. Seth | Justice Vijay Kumar Shukla

Assessment years:

Scope of this order

The appeal remained the chosen remedy; no High Court stay or substantive exemption ruling was granted.

01 FACTS OF THE CASE

The university challenged a 31 January 2019 order of the exemption assessing authority at Raipur. Counsel acknowledged that an appeal against the same order was already before CIT(A), Jabalpur; the office had also raised territorial jurisdiction.

Source: PDF p. 1

02 DISCUSSION BY THE HON'BLE COURT

Without resolving the territorial objection, the Court declined parallel writ and appellate proceedings against the same order. Counsel sought withdrawal to pursue the appeal.

Source: PDF p. 1

03 JUDICIAL DECISION

The writ was disposed of as withdrawn. The Court expected expeditious consideration according to law if a stay application was made.

Source: PDF p. 2

04 OUTCOME / IN WHOSE FAVOUR

The appeal remained the chosen remedy; no High Court stay or substantive exemption ruling was granted.

Source: PDF p. 2

05 EXPERT COMMENTS

Territorial jurisdiction was not decided — The Court expressly proceeded even if that office objection were ignored. This order should not be cited as affirmatively settling territorial jurisdiction over the Raipur order.

An expectation to consider stay is not a stay — The conditional direction requires an application and lawful appellate consideration. It supplies neither an automatic recovery restraint nor a fixed disposal deadline.

Source: PDF p. 2

SOURCE & VERIFICATION

Primary High Court order - verified local repository copy

Complete 2-page source PDF; all pages read, including signature-only pages.

Complete source text and every original page visually reviewed; identity and SHA-256 checked. AI-assisted source analysis.

Open cited source rendition

All 2 physical source pages read and visually inspected. SHA-256: cc2621bb59d9870cdaabee023fb804e3556ec10040573f4a56f23b4c4c41aeef.

STATUTORY SCOPE

The supplied order does not identify an assessment year or decide an Income-tax Act charging provision. No unstated provision is assigned.

LATER TREATMENT

Source checked 2026-10-21. Subsequent judicial treatment has not been independently verified.

AI DISCLOSURE

AI-assisted research checked against the identified source. Extraction, interpretation or omission errors remain possible. Source review does not mean independent approval by a practising professional. Verify every material statement against the complete original judgment.

PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General research information only; not legal or tax advice, a certified judgment or a substitute for the full order. Applicability depends on facts, assessment year, statutory version and jurisdiction. Subsequent treatment is unverified unless identified sources are expressly stated. Downloading does not create a professional engagement. Obtain case-specific advice before reliance.