Sanjay Singh v. Income Tax Officer, Ward No. 3(1), Ranchi & Anr.

W.P.(T) No. 7280 of 2023

W.P.(T) No. 7280 of 2023 (2026-04-09) | 2026-04-09

Appearance correction only: Ashish Verma added to the petitioner's counsel in the 6 April 2026 order.

No Income-tax Act section expressly identified in this order.

High Court of Jharkhand | Chief Justice M. S. Sonak; Justice Rajesh Shankar | Chief Justice M. S. Sonak | Justice Rajesh Shankar

Assessment years: Not specified in supplied order

Scope of this order

Clerical correction of the appearance record; no fresh tax relief or reopening of the disposed petition is stated.

01 FACTS OF THE CASE

The disposed W.P.(T) 7280/2023 was taken on board upon mentioning on 9 April 2026. The requested correction concerned counsel appearing for Sanjay Singh in the order dated 6 April 2026.

Source: PDF p. 1

02 DISCUSSION BY THE HON'BLE COURT

The Court directed that Ashish Verma's name be added alongside Ajay Kumar as an advocate who had appeared for the petitioner. The earlier disposal and its substantive reasoning are not reproduced.

Source: PDF p. 1

03 JUDICIAL DECISION

The order dated 6 April 2026 was modified only to add the omitted advocate's name.

Source: PDF p. 1

04 OUTCOME / IN WHOSE FAVOUR

Clerical correction of the appearance record; no fresh tax relief or reopening of the disposed petition is stated.

Source: PDF p. 1

05 EXPERT COMMENTS

Read the modification within its express limit — The operative paragraph confines the change to counsel's appearance. It supplies no basis to describe a reassessment as quashed, a demand as stayed or the petition as restored.

Keep the two orders distinct — This PDF is the 9 April correction to a 6 April order. It should remain linked to that history but must not replace or purport to summarise the unavailable substantive order.

Use the signed identification — The coram refers to the Chief Justice by office, while the signature identifies M. S. Sonak. The note can therefore name the judge from the source itself without guessing from a roster.

Source: PDF p. 1

SOURCE & VERIFICATION

High Court of Jharkhand official judgment PDF - AKHB Repository (20260406_JHHC010445892023__preserved_09d0a6bb5897_1.pdf)

Complete 1-page court PDF read for this correction.

Complete source text and every original page visually reviewed; identity and SHA-256 checked. AI-assisted source analysis.

Open cited source rendition

All 1 physical source pages read and visually inspected. SHA-256: 09d0a6bb58975a7d8274b66fd76dd2312bee4bea1aa8f2cd6bdbe8889386eb5b.

STATUTORY SCOPE

Only expressly identified provisions are indexed. No unprinted tax provision or assessment year is inferred.

LATER TREATMENT

Source checked 2026-10-21. Subsequent judicial treatment has not been independently verified.

AI DISCLOSURE

AI-assisted research checked against the identified source. Extraction, interpretation or omission errors remain possible. Source review does not mean independent approval by a practising professional. Verify every material statement against the complete original judgment.

PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General research information only; not legal or tax advice, a certified judgment or a substitute for the full order. Applicability depends on facts, assessment year, statutory version and jurisdiction. Subsequent treatment is unverified unless identified sources are expressly stated. Downloading does not create a professional engagement. Obtain case-specific advice before reliance.