# Principal Commissioner of Income Tax-1 v. Rupali Mittal

INCOME TAX APPEAL No. 16 of 2025

Supplied court order; reported citation not indexed | 2025-11-24

**Notice was issued; steps were due within a week and the office was to provide dasti. Listing with Income Tax Appeal 8/2025 was fixed for 27 November 2025.**

Allahabad High Court | Division Bench | Sangeeta Chandra | Amitabh Kumar Rai

Assessment years:

## 01 FACTS OF THE CASE

A separate Revenue appeal against Rupali Mittal came up for service directions.

Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

## 02 DISCUSSION BY THE HON'BLE COURT

The Court required ordinary and personal dasti service and connected listing with Appeal 8/2025.

Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

## 03 JUDICIAL DECISION

Notice was issued; steps were due within a week and the office was to provide dasti. Listing with Income Tax Appeal 8/2025 was fixed for 27 November 2025.

Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

## 04 OUTCOME / IN WHOSE FAVOUR

Appeal 16/2025 remained pending, linked for listing with Appeal 8/2025.

Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

## 05 EXPERT COMMENTS

AKHB EXPERT COMMENTS — editorial analysis, distinct from the Court's findings.

Joint listing preserves two distinct appeals — Appeal 16/2025 was to be listed with Appeal 8/2025 on 27 November 2025. The shared respondent and linked hearing do not merge their dockets, establish identical assessment years or make one order a duplicate of the other.

The order imposed service obligations, not merits findings — One-week steps and personal dasti service additional to normal service remain the concrete directions in this appeal. The short interval to the listed date should not be rewritten as a different service deadline. No admitted question, stay or substantive tax ruling appears.

Source: PDF p.1

## SOURCE & VERIFICATION

Supplied court order - exact individual source bytes verified

Complete supplied 1-page original read and every page visually inspected; original PDF bytes verified by SHA-256 against the review ledger. Only source-identified provisions and assessment years are indexed. No PAN is stated. No current-law clearance is claimed.

All 1 original pages independently read and visually inspected; five panels audited.

Open cited source rendition

All 1 source pages read and visually inspected; exact original SHA-256 verified.

## STATUTORY SCOPE

Only source-identified provisions are recorded. No current monetary threshold, current statutory equivalence or 2025 Act applicability is inferred.

## LATER TREATMENT

Source checked 2026-10-21. Subsequent proceedings and current precedential treatment have not been comprehensively verified.

### AI DISCLOSURE

AI-assisted research checked against the identified source. Extraction, interpretation or omission errors remain possible. Source review does not mean independent approval by a practising professional. Verify every material statement against the complete original judgment.

### PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General research information only; not legal or tax advice, a certified judgment or a substitute for the full order. Applicability depends on facts, assessment year, statutory version and jurisdiction. Subsequent treatment is unverified unless identified sources are expressly stated. Downloading does not create a professional engagement. Obtain case-specific advice before reliance.