

Manjari Agrawal v. Principal Commissioner Of Income Tax And Another

Writ Tax No. 2750 Of 2025

2025:AHC:108667-DB | 2025-07-09

the writ petition was dismissed as withdrawn.

Division Bench | Shekhar B. Saraf | Praveen Kumar Giri

01 FACTS OF THE CASE

Manjari Agrawal requested withdrawal through counsel.

Source: [PDF p.1, complete supplied order](#)

02 DISCUSSION BY THE HON'BLE COURT

The Bench accepted the withdrawal request without examining the underlying tax merits.

Source: [PDF p.1, complete supplied order](#)

03 JUDICIAL DECISION

the writ petition was dismissed as withdrawn.

Source: [PDF p.1, complete supplied order](#)

04 OUTCOME / IN WHOSE FAVOUR

The proceeding ended at the requesting party's instance.

Source: [PDF p.1, complete supplied order](#)

05 EXPERT COMMENTS

AKHB EXPERT COMMENTS — editorial analysis, distinct from the Court's findings.

Instructions to withdraw explain the disposal — Manjari Agrawal's counsel stated that he had instructions to withdraw; departmental counsel was present. The Court dismissed Writ Tax 2750/2025 on that submission. It did not determine the tax action challenged or disclose the reason for withdrawal.

Use as a closure record — No express fresh-petition liberty, settlement or stay is recorded. The presence of departmental counsel is not a concession on the merits. Any claim about extinguished liability or a later remedy requires the underlying or subsequent order rather than an inference from withdrawal.

Source: [PDF p.1](#)

SOURCE & VERIFICATION

[Supplied court order - exact individual source bytes verified](#)

Complete supplied 1-page original read and all source page images visually inspected; original bytes verified by SHA-256 against the ledger. No PAN is stated. Subsequent treatment and current law have not been cleared.

[Open cited source rendition](#)

STATUTORY SCOPE

Only source-identified provisions are recorded. No current monetary threshold, current statutory equivalence or 2025 Act applicability is inferred.

LATER TREATMENT

Source checked 2026-10-21. Subsequent proceedings and current precedential treatment have not been comprehensively verified.

AI DISCLOSURE

This AKHB research note was prepared with AI assistance from an identified primary report. Independent human review is not represented.

PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General legal and tax research only; not legal or tax advice. Verify the complete judgment, applicable statutory version and subsequent treatment before reliance. The AKHB Expert Comments is editorial analysis, not the Court's holding. No current-law clearance is claimed.