

Abdullah Khan v. Income Tax Officer And Another

WRIT - C No. 38583 of 2023

2023:AH:236078-DB | 2023-12-13

The writ petition was dismissed as infructuous.

Division Bench | Mahesh Chandra Tripathi | Prashant Kumar

01 FACTS OF THE CASE

Counsel reported that the petitioner's grievance had already been redressed and the cause for the writ no longer survived.

Source: [PDF p.1](#)

02 DISCUSSION BY THE HON'BLE COURT

On that recorded basis the Court treated the petition as infructuous.

Source: [PDF p.1](#)

03 JUDICIAL DECISION

The writ petition was dismissed as infructuous.

Source: [PDF p.1](#)

04 OUTCOME / IN WHOSE FAVOUR

No remaining controversy was decided on merits.

Source: [PDF p.1](#)

05 EXPERT COMMENTS

AKHB EXPERT COMMENTS — editorial analysis, distinct from the Court's findings.

Reported redress removed the live grievance — Abdullah Khan's counsel stated that the grievance had already been redressed. The Court reasoned that no cause for the writ then survived and dismissed it as infructuous. This is more specific than a bare passage-of-time disposal, but does not identify the administrative relief granted.

Separate closure from the content of relief — Use this order to establish the writ's closure on the basis of reported redress. The relevant departmental communication is still needed to show what was corrected, for which period and amount. The High Court did not adjudicate a residual tax issue or order a particular refund or cancellation here.

Source: [PDF p.1](#)

SOURCE & VERIFICATION

[Supplied court order - exact individual source bytes verified](#)

Complete supplied 1-page original read and visually inspected; original bytes independently SHA-256 verified against source map and ledger. No present-law or subsequent-treatment clearance is claimed.

Open cited source rendition

STATUTORY SCOPE

Only source-identified provisions are recorded. No current monetary threshold, current statutory equivalence or 2025 Act applicability is inferred.

LATER TREATMENT

Source checked 2026-10-21. Subsequent proceedings and current precedential treatment have not been comprehensively verified.

AI DISCLOSURE

This AKHB research note was prepared with AI assistance from an identified primary report. Independent human review is not represented.

PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General legal and tax research only; not legal or tax advice. Verify the complete judgment, applicable statutory version and subsequent treatment before reliance. The AKHB Expert Comments is editorial analysis, not the Court's holding. No current-law clearance is claimed.