Ram Lakhan v. Additional Income Tax Commissioner, Sitapur and others

Writ Tax No. 27380 of 2017

Supplied court order; no reported citation stated | 2022-08-26

The writ petition was dismissed for want of prosecution. Any interim order stood vacated.

Division Bench | Rajan Roy | Om Prakash Shukla

01 FACTS OF THE CASE

No one appeared for the petitioner to press the writ petition.
Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

02 DISCUSSION BY THE HON'BLE COURT

The Court disposed of the proceeding for non-prosecution; the supplied order does not address the underlying tax controversy.
Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

03 JUDICIAL DECISION

The writ petition was dismissed for want of prosecution. Any interim order stood vacated.
Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

04 OUTCOME / IN WHOSE FAVOUR

The proceeding ended for non-prosecution, with conditional vacation of any interim protection.
Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

05 AKHB REVIEW POINT

Keep the qualification “if any” attached to the interim order. The source does not establish that interim relief existed, that tax was paid or that the underlying claim was rejected on merits.
Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

SOURCE & VERIFICATION

Supplied court order - exact individual source bytes verified

Complete supplied one-page original read and visually inspected; original PDF bytes verified by SHA-256. Only source-identified provisions and assessment years are recorded. No PAN is stated. Subsequent treatment and current law have not been cleared.

Open cited source rendition

STATUTORY SCOPE

Only source-identified provisions are recorded. No current monetary threshold, current statutory equivalence or 2025 Act applicability is inferred.

©AKHB & Associates | AKHB commentary/design only; no claim over reproduced statutes or judgments.

LATER TREATMENT

Source checked 2026-10-20. Subsequent proceedings and current precedential treatment have not been comprehensively verified.

AI DISCLOSURE

This AKHB research note was prepared with AI assistance from an identified primary report. Independent human review is not represented.

PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General legal and tax research only; not legal or tax advice. Verify the complete judgment, applicable statutory version and subsequent treatment before reliance. The AKHB REVIEW POINT is editorial analysis, not the Court's holding. No current-law clearance is claimed.

©AKHB & Associates | AKHB commentary/design only; no claim over reproduced statutes or judgments.