Mamta Khanna v. Commissioner of Income Tax and others

Writ Tax No. 368 of 2022

Supplied court order; no reported citation stated | 2022-04-06

The writ petition was dismissed as infructuous.

Division Bench | Surya Prakash Kesarwani | Jayant Banerji

01 FACTS OF THE CASE

Petitioner counsel stated that the writ had become infructuous and requested its dismissal on that basis.
Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

02 DISCUSSION BY THE HON'BLE COURT

The Court disposed of the writ on the recorded procedural submission; it did not determine the underlying tax dispute.
Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

03 JUDICIAL DECISION

The writ petition was dismissed as infructuous.
Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

04 OUTCOME / IN WHOSE FAVOUR

The proceeding ended without an adjudication on the tax merits.
Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

05 AKHB REVIEW POINT

The source does not state what event made the writ infructuous. Do not infer settlement, payment, exemption, withdrawal or substantive tax relief. Use the printed order date, not the later signature date.
Source: PDF p.1, complete supplied order

SOURCE & VERIFICATION

Supplied court order - exact individual source bytes verified

Complete supplied one-page original read and visually inspected; original PDF bytes verified by SHA-256. Only source-identified provisions and assessment years are recorded. No PAN is stated. Subsequent treatment and current law have not been cleared.

Open cited source rendition

STATUTORY SCOPE

Only source-identified provisions are recorded. No current monetary threshold, current statutory equivalence or 2025 Act applicability is inferred.

LATER TREATMENT

Source checked 2026-10-20. Subsequent proceedings and current precedential treatment have not been comprehensively verified.

©AKHB & Associates | AKHB commentary/design only; no claim over reproduced statutes or judgments.

AI DISCLOSURE

This AKHB research note was prepared with AI assistance from an identified primary report. Independent human review is not represented.

PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General legal and tax research only; not legal or tax advice. Verify the complete judgment, applicable statutory version and subsequent treatment before reliance. The AKHB REVIEW POINT is editorial analysis, not the Court's holding. No current-law clearance is claimed.

©AKHB & Associates | AKHB commentary/design only; no claim over reproduced statutes or judgments.