

M/s Nimbus Motors Pvt. Ltd., Noida v. Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax, G.B. Nagar and Another

Writ Tax No.583 of 2016

Supplied court order; no reported citation stated | 2022-03-29

The writ was dismissed as withdrawn.

Division Bench | Surya Prakash Kesarwani | Jayant Banerji

01 FACTS OF THE CASE

M/s Nimbus Motors Pvt. Ltd., Noida v. Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax, G.B. Nagar and Another, Writ Tax No.583 of 2016. Counsel for Nimbus Motors said the petitioner intended to pursue its remedy before the Assessing Authority and requested withdrawal. Revenue counsel was present. The supplied one-page order does not identify AY, PAN or the underlying tax amount.

Source: [PDF p.1 \(complete supplied order\)](#)

02 DISCUSSION BY THE HON'BLE COURT

The Court disposed of the request recorded in the supplied order. No substantive tax issue or underlying assessment was adjudicated.

Source: [PDF p.1 \(complete supplied order\)](#)

03 JUDICIAL DECISION

The writ was dismissed as withdrawn.

Source: [PDF p.1 \(complete supplied order\)](#)

04 OUTCOME / IN WHOSE FAVOUR

The proceeding ended on the stated procedural basis. No tax claim was allowed or disallowed on merits by this short order.

Source: [PDF p.1 \(complete supplied order\)](#)

05 AKHB REVIEW POINT

The intention to pursue an authority remedy is counsel's stated reason. No express limitation extension, admission of a later application, condonation or substantive tax relief is granted. Use the printed order date rather than a later digital-signature timestamp.

Source: [PDF p.1 \(complete supplied order\)](#)

SOURCE & VERIFICATION

[Supplied court order - exact individual source bytes verified](#)

Complete supplied one-page original read and visually inspected; original PDF bytes verified by SHA-256. Only source-identified provisions and assessment years are recorded. No PAN is stated. Subsequent treatment and current law have not been cleared.

[Open cited source rendition](#)

STATUTORY SCOPE

Only source-identified provisions are recorded. No current monetary threshold, current statutory equivalence or 2025 Act applicability is inferred.

LATER TREATMENT

Source checked 2026-10-19. Subsequent proceedings and current precedential treatment have not been comprehensively verified.

AI DISCLOSURE

This AKHB research note was prepared with AI assistance from an identified primary report. Independent human review is not represented.

PROFESSIONAL DISCLAIMER

General legal and tax research only; not legal or tax advice. Verify the complete judgment, applicable statutory version and subsequent treatment before reliance. The AKHB REVIEW POINT is editorial analysis, not the Court's holding. No current-law clearance is claimed.